# **House of Representatives**



General Assembly

File No. 646

January Session, 2011

House Bill No. 6343

House of Representatives, April 27, 2011

The Committee on Judiciary reported through REP. FOX of the 146th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the bill ought to pass.

# AN ACT CONCERNING COOPERATIVE HEALTH CARE ARRANGEMENTS.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- Section 1. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2011*) (a) As used in this section:
- 3 (1) "Cooperative arrangement" means an agreement among two or 4 more health care providers for the purpose of sharing, allocating or 5 referring patients, personnel, instructional programs, support services 6 or facilities or medical, diagnostic or laboratory facilities or 7 procedures, or negotiating fees, prices or rates with managed care 8 organizations and includes, but is not limited to, a merger, acquisition 9 or joint venture of two or more health care providers, including, but 10 not limited to, physician practice groups;
- 12 (2) "Health care provider" means: (A) A physician licensed under 12 chapter 370 of the general statutes, (B) a chiropractor licensed under 13 chapter 372 of the general statutes, (C) a podiatrist licensed under

chapter 375 of the general statutes, (D) a nature opath licensed under chapter 373 of the general statutes, or (E) an optometrist licensed under chapter 380 of the general statutes;

- 17 (3) "Certificate of public advantage" means a certificate issued by the 18 Attorney General, that authorizes health care providers that are parties 19 to a cooperative arrangement to engage in conduct that could tend to 20 lessen competition in a relevant health care market, upon a showing 21 that such cooperative arrangement meets the criteria set forth in 22 subdivision (2) of subsection (c) of this section; and
- 23 (4) "Managed care organization" has the meaning set forth in section 24 38a-478 of the general statutes.
- 25 (b) The Attorney General may issue a certificate of public advantage 26 in accordance with this section. Any two or more health care providers 27 may apply to the Attorney General for a certificate of public advantage 28 to authorize a cooperative arrangement. The application shall include 29 (1) the name of the applicant or applicants, (2) a description of the 30 nature and scope of the cooperative arrangement, (3) a description of 31 any consideration passing to a party under the agreement, (4) evidence 32 in support of the criteria set forth in subdivision (2) of subsection (c) of 33 this section, and (5) such other information as the Attorney General 34 may require. Each application shall be accompanied by a fee of one 35 hundred dollars. Any information of a proprietary nature submitted in 36 such application that meets the standards set forth in subdivision (5), 37 (8) or (10) of subsection (b) of section 1-210 of the general statutes shall 38 be confidential and exempt from public disclosure.
  - (c) (1) The Attorney General shall review each application submitted pursuant to subsection (b) of this section and, not later than ninety days after receipt of such application, issue a written decision approving or denying the application. The decision shall set forth the Attorney General's findings with respect to the benefits and disadvantages described in subdivision (2) of this subsection and a conclusion as to whether the benefits outweigh the disadvantages to the residents of this state. The Attorney General may conduct a

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 hearing, after giving notice to all interested parties, to obtain 48 information necessary in making such decision.

- (2) In reviewing applications under this section, the Attorney General shall consider the provisions of chapter 368z of the general statutes concerning long-range health planning that the Attorney General deems relevant to the application for a certificate of public advantage, and any benefits of such cooperative arrangement, including, but not limited to: (A) Enhancement of the quality of health services to consumers; (B) gains in cost efficiency of providing health services; (C) improvement in utilization of and access to health services and equipment; and (D) avoidance of duplication of health care resources. The Attorney General shall not approve an application for a certificate of public advantage unless the Attorney General finds that the benefits of the proposed cooperative arrangement outweigh the disadvantages, including, but not limited to: (i) The potential reduction in competition; (ii) the adverse impact on quality, access or price of health care services to consumers; and (iii) the availability of arrangements that achieve the same benefits with less restriction on competition.
- (3) Conduct by health care providers in furtherance of a cooperative arrangement that has received a certificate of public advantage shall not be subject to the provisions of chapter 624 of the general statutes, except that the Attorney General may utilize the powers set forth in section 35-42 of the general statutes when the Attorney General has reason to believe that the approved cooperative arrangement is not performing or providing services as described in the application or in the annual progress report. This section shall not be construed to require a health care provider to obtain a certificate of public advantage in order to enter into a cooperative arrangement, and, absent a certificate of public advantage, the legality of such cooperative arrangement shall be determined by applicable antitrust law.
- (4) Health care providers in a cooperative arrangement that has received a certificate of public advantage pursuant to this section shall

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

submit an annual progress report to the Attorney General on a form prescribed by the Attorney General. The report shall be accompanied by a fee of one hundred dollars.

- (5) The Attorney General shall actively supervise any cooperative arrangement authorized pursuant to this section to determine whether the conduct undertaken by the health care providers in furtherance of the cooperative arrangement should continue to be authorized. The Attorney General shall review such conduct through annual progress reports submitted by the health care providers in a cooperative arrangement in accordance with subdivision (4) of this subsection to evaluate whether the conduct is consistent with the application and whether the benefits continue to outweigh the disadvantages. If the Attorney General has reason to believe that the likely benefits no longer outweigh the disadvantages, the Attorney General shall notify the holder of the certificate of public advantage and hold a hearing to determine whether such certificate should be modified or revoked. Such modification or revocation shall take effect ninety days from the mailing of notice of a final decision by the Attorney General. The Attorney General shall not modify or revoke a certificate of public advantage more than three years after the initial issuance of such certificate.
- (d) Any health care provider denied a certificate of public advantage by the Attorney General pursuant to this section and any holder of a certificate of public advantage that has been modified or revoked by the Attorney General pursuant to subdivision (5) of subsection (c) of this section may appeal therefrom as if such denial, modification or revocation were a contested case within the meaning of chapter 54 of the general statutes.
- (e) No managed care organization shall refuse to negotiate in good faith with parties to a cooperative arrangement authorized by the Attorney General. Any managed care organization that violates this section shall be subject to a civil penalty of not more than twenty-five thousand dollars per day for each violation. The Attorney General may

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

institute proceedings to enforce the provisions of this section in the superior court for the judicial district of Hartford.

115 (f) A violation of subsection (e) of this section shall be deemed an 116 unfair or deceptive trade practice under chapter 735a of the general 117 statutes.

This act shall sections:	ll take effect as follow	s and shall amend the following
Section 1	October 1, 2011	New section

JUD Joint Favorable

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

#### **OFA Fiscal Note**

#### State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 12 \$	FY 13 \$
Attorney General	GF - Cost	555,000	555,000
Comptroller Misc. Accounts	GF - Cost	108,108	108,108
(Fringe Benefits) <sup>1</sup>			
Attorney General; Consumer	Various Funds -	Less than	Less than
Protection, Dept.	Revenue Gain	50,000	50,000
Comptroller Misc. Accounts	GF & TF -	Indeterminate	Indeterminate
(Fringe Benefits) <sup>1</sup>	Potential Cost		
Social Services, Dept.	GF - Potential	Indeterminate	Indeterminate
_	Cost		

Note: GF=General Fund; TF=Transportation Fund

### Municipal Impact:

Municipalities	Effect	FY 12 \$	FY 13 \$
Various Municipalities	Potential	Indeterminate	Indeterminate
	Cost		

### Explanation

The bill results in an estimated annual cost of \$663,108 for four attorneys, one paralegal and one health care analyst in the Attorney General's office (AG) to certify and oversee authorized cooperative health care arrangements. This cost includes \$455,000 in Personal Services, \$25,000 in Other Expenses, \$75,000 in consultant fees and \$108,108 to provide fringe benefits. These employees would be necessary to review these arrangements and issue written decisions approving or denying applications for certificates of public advantage,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The fringe benefit costs for most state employees are budgeted centrally in accounts administered by the Comptroller. The estimated non-pension fringe benefit cost associated with personnel changes is 23.76% of payroll in FY 12 and FY 13. In addition, there could be an impact to potential liability for the applicable state pension funds.

which authorize health care providers to engage in conduct that could lessen health care competition. Hearings may be necessary to obtain background information. In addition, the AG must actively supervise authorized cooperative health care arrangements and review annual reports submitted by parties to authorized cooperative health care arrangements. It is anticipated that in excess of ten cooperative arrangements may occur.

The bill results in a potential revenue gain of less than \$50,000 as it requires managed care companies to negotiate in good faith with health care providers holding a certificate of public advantage issued by the AG. A company that fails to do so faces a daily \$25,000 civil fine and is in violation of the state's Unfair Trade Practices Act.

The state purchases healthcare services from insurers for individuals served by Medicaid and the state employee health plan. To the extent that the bill increases the cost of providers for which insurers procure services from, there may be an increased cost to the state. In addition, for the same reason identified, there may be an increased cost to municipalities to provide healthcare to the populations they serve.

#### The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future subject to inflation and any violations of the bill's provisions.

# OLR Bill Analysis HB 6343

# AN ACT CONCERNING COOPERATIVE HEALTH CARE ARRANGEMENTS.

### **SUMMARY:**

This bill authorizes health care providers – licensed (1) doctors, (2) chiropractors, (3) podiatrists, (4) naturopaths, and (5) optometrists – to enter into cooperative arrangements (CA) with each other to negotiate fees as a group with insurers and managed care organizations (MCO). They may apply to the attorney general for a certificate of public advantage, exempting them from state antitrust laws. Those who choose not to be certified may have to establish their legality under applicable antitrust law.

Under the bill, the attorney general must actively supervise all CAs, including those without a certificate of public advantage. It subjects MCOs to civil penalties for refusing to negotiate in good faith with certified CAs.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2011

#### **DEFINITIONS**

#### Cooperative Arrangement

Under the bill, a CA is an agreement among two or more health care providers for (1) sharing, allocating, or referring patients; personnel; instructional programs; support services; or facilities or (2) negotiating fees, prices, or rates with MCOs. It includes a merger, acquisition, or joint venture of two or more health care providers, including physician practice groups.

#### Certificate of Public Advantage

A "certificate of public advantage" is a certificate issued by the

attorney general authorizing health care providers that are parties to a CA to engage in conduct that could lessen competition in a relevant health care market. The criteria for determinating if a CA could lessen competition include (1) the adverse impact the CA could have on quality, access, or price of health care to consumers and (2) whether other arrangements are available that could achieve the same benefits with less restriction on competition.

# CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC ADVANTAGE APPLICATIONS Contents

Any two or more health care providers may pay a \$100 fee and apply to the attorney general for a certificate of public advantage. They must supply:

- 1. their name or names,
- 2. a description of the nature and scope of the CA,
- 3. a description of any contractual considerations passing to a party under the CA,
- 4. evidence showing the CA's benefits outweigh its disadvantages, and
- 5. any other information the attorney general requires.

Information that is proprietary under the state Freedom of Information Act is confidential and exempt from public disclosure.

#### **Process**

The attorney general must review all applications and issue a written decision approving or denying them within 90 days. After notifying all interested parties, he may conduct an information-gathering hearing.

His decision must state the benefits and disadvantages of the CA and his conclusion as to whether the benefits outweigh the disadvantages.

#### **Considerations**

Under the bill, the attorney general cannot approve a CA unless he finds that the benefits outweigh the disadvantages.

#### Benefits. Benefits include:

- 1. enhancement of the quality of health services to consumers,
- 2. gains in cost efficiency of providing services,
- 3. improvements in the use and access to services and equipment, and
- 4. avoidance of resource duplication.

#### **Disadvantages.** Disadvantages include:

- 1. the potential reduction in competition;
- 2. the adverse impact on quality, access, or price of health care to consumers; and
- 3. the availability of arrangements that achieve the same benefits with less restriction on competition.

The attorney general must also consider the state's relevant statutory long-range health care plan in ruling on a CA's application.

#### **ANTITRUST**

## Annual Progress Reports

Under the bill, health care providers in certified CAs must submit annual progress reports on a form prescribed by the attorney general along with a \$100 fee. The attorney general must actively supervise certified CAs by reviewing their progress reports to evaluate whether their conduct is consistent with their application and whether the benefits continue to outweigh the disadvantages.

# Revocation and Modification Hearings

If the attorney general determines that benefits no longer outweigh

the disadvantages, he must notify the certificate holder and hold a hearing to determine whether to modify or revoke the certificate of public advantage. He cannot revoke or modify a certificate more than three years after its initial issuance. (It is unclear what purpose a hearing would serve in this case.)

The modification or revocation takes effect 90 days from the date the attorney general mails notice of a final decision.

#### **APPEALS**

Any health care provider denied a certificate of public advantage or any certificate holder can appeal a certificate modification or revocation as if it were an action taken in a contested case hearing. This entitles it to an administrative hearing and, if it continues to be aggrieved, to a Superior Court appeal.

#### ANTITRUST LITIGATION

The bill also permits the attorney general, without a time limitation, to investigate and bring suit under the Connecticut Antitrust Act when he has reason to believe that an approved CA is not performing or providing services as described in its application or progress report.

#### MANAGED CARE ORGANIZATIONS

Under the bill, MCOs are required to negotiate in good faith with certified CAs. Failure to do so violates the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act and also subjects violators to a civil fine of \$25,000 per day.

#### BACKGROUND

#### **Antitrust**

With limited exceptions, state and federal law prohibit restraint of any part of trade or commerce, including contracts intended to, or that have the effect of:

- 1. price fixing;
- 2. fixing, controlling, maintaining, limiting, or discontinuing the

production, manufacture, mining, sale, or supply of any part of trade or commerce;

3. allocating or dividing customers or markets, either functionally or geographically in any part of trade or commerce; or

4. refusing to deal or coercing, persuading, or inducing third parties to refuse to deal with another person.

#### Enforcement.

The attorney general is authorized to litigate state and federal antitrust cases. Persons, including consumers, are also entitled to file suit, and may recover treble damages for the injury to their business or property plus reasonable attorney's fees.

#### **COMMITTEE ACTION**

**Judiciary Committee** 

Joint Favorable Yea 27 Nay 7 (04/12/2011)